arXiv:1606.05045v4 [math.CO] 2 Sep 2016

Some properties and applications of odd-colorable r-hypergraphs *

Xiying Yuan† Liqun Qi‡ Jiayu Shao§¶ Chen Ouyang‖

September 5, 2016

Abstract

Let $r \geq 2$ and r be even. An r-hypergraph G on n vertices is called odd-colorable if there exists a map $\varphi : [n] \to [r]$ such that for any edge $\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_r\}$ of G, we have $\varphi(j_1) + \varphi(j_2) + \cdots + \varphi(j_r) \equiv r/2 (\mathrm{mod}\, r)$. In this paper, we first determine that, if $r = 2^q(2t+1)$ and $n \geq 2^q(2^q-1)r$, then the maximum chromatic number in the class of the odd-colorable r-hypergraphs on n vertices is 2^q, which answers a question raised by V. Nikiforov recently in [V. Nikiforov, Hypergraphs and hypermatrices with symmetric spectrum. Prinprint available in arXiv:1605.00709v2, 10 May, 2016]. We also study some applications of the symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs given in that same paper by V. Nikiforov. We show that the Laplacian spectrum and the signless Laplacian spectrum of an r-hypergraph G are equal if and only if G is odd-colorable, and then study some further applications of these spectral properties.

AMS classification: *15A42, 05C50*

Keywords: r-hypergraph; Laplacian spectrum; Signless Laplacian spectrum; Odd-colorable; Chromatic number

1 Introduction

Denote the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ by $[n]$. An r-hypergraph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ on n vertices is an r-uniform hypergraph each of whose edges contains exactly r vertices ([1]). In this paper, r-hypergraph is simply called r-graph for convenience. A 2-graph is just an ordinary graph.

The definition of odd-coloring for tensors (it is called r-matrices in [10]) was introduced in [10], we just focus on its version for r-graph as follows.

Definition 1 *Let $r \geq 2$ and r be even. An r-graph G with $V(G) = [n]$ is called odd-colorable if there exists a map $\varphi : [n] \to [r]$ such that for any edge $\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_r\}$ of G, we have*

$$\varphi(j_1) + \cdots + \varphi(j_r) \equiv r/2 (\mathrm{mod}\, r).$$

The function φ is called an odd-coloring of G.

The following concept of odd-bipartite r-graphs was taken from [6], and this concept acts as generalizations of the ordinary bipartite graphs.

*This work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant Nos. PolyU 501212, 501913, 15302114 and 15300715) and NSF of China (Grant Nos. 11231004, 11571123 and 11101263) and by a grant of "The First-class Discipline of Universities in Shanghai".

†Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China; *E-mail address: xiyingyuan2007@hotmail.com*

‡Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, HungHom, Kowloon, HongKong, *Email address: liqun.qi@polyu.edu.hk*

§Corresponding author

¶Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, China, *Email address: jyshao@tongji.edu.cn*

‖Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, HungHom, Kowloon, HongKong, *Email address: oychen26@126.com*

Definition 2 *[6] An r-graph $G=(V,E)$ is called odd-bipartite, if r is even and there exists some proper subset V_1 of V such that each edge of G contains exactly odd number of vertices in V_1.*

The odd-bipartite r-graphs were also called odd-transversal r-graphs in literature (see [1], [3], or [10]). The connection between odd-bipartiteness and spectra of r-graphs was studied in [6], [7], [9] and [14].

In [10], it was proved that an odd-bipartite graph is always odd-colorable (see Proposition 11 in [10]), and furthermore, in the case $r \equiv 2(\mathrm{mod}4)$, then G is odd-colorable if and only if G is odd-bipartite (see Proposition 12 in [10]).

An r-graph G is called k-chromatic if its vertices can be partitioned into k sets so that each edge intersects at least two sets. The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of G is the smallest k for which G is k-chromatic. The chromatic number of an odd-colorable r-graph is also considered in [10]. Clearly, each nontrivial odd-bipartite graph has chromatic number 2. A family of 3-chromatic odd-colorable $4k$-graphs on n vertices is constructed in [10]. Notice that odd-colorable r-graphs are defined only for even r. For further information about the chromatic number of odd-colorable graph, the following question is raised in [10].

Question 3 *Let $r \equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$. What is the maximum chromatic number of an odd-colorable r-graph on n vertices?*

In section 2, we will determine that, if r is even, $r=2^q(2t+1)$ for some integers q,t and $n \geq 2^q(2^q-1)r$, then the maximum chromatic number in the class of the odd-colorable r-graphs on n vertices is 2^q. This result provides an answer to the above Question 3.

Definition 4 *[7] [12] Let $G=(V(G),E(G))$ be an r-graph on n vertices. The adjacency tensor of G is defined as the order r dimension n tensor $\mathcal{A}(G)$ whose $(j_1\cdots j_r)$-entry is:*

$$(\mathcal{A}(G))_{j_1j_2\cdots j_r}=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{(r-1)!} & \text{if } \{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_r\}\in E(G),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{D}(G)$ be an order r dimension n diagonal tensor, with its diagonal entry $\mathcal{D}_{jj\cdots j}$ being the degree of vertex j, for all $j\in[n]$. Then $\mathcal{L}(G)=\mathcal{D}(G)-\mathcal{A}(G)$ is called the Laplacian tensor of r-graph G, and $\mathcal{Q}(G)=\mathcal{D}(G)+\mathcal{A}(G)$ is called the signless Laplacian tensor of G,.

The following general product of tensors, was defined in [13], which is a generalization of the matrix case. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be dimension n and order $m\geq 2$ and $k\geq 1$ tensors, respectively. The product $\mathcal{AB}$ is the following tensor $\mathcal{C}$ of dimension n and order $(m-1)(k-1)+1$ with entries:

$$\mathcal{C}_{i\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{m-1}}=\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m\in[n_2]}\mathcal{A}_{ii_2\cdots i_m}\mathcal{B}_{i_2\alpha_1}\cdots\mathcal{B}_{i_m\alpha_{m-1}}, \tag{1}$$

where $i\in[n],\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{m-1}\in[n]^{k-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an order r dimension n tensor, let $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)^T\in\mathbb{C}^n$ be a column vector of dimension n. Then by (1) $\mathcal{T}x$ is a vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose jth component is as the following

$$(\mathcal{T}x)_j=\sum_{j_2,\cdots,j_r=1}^{n}\mathcal{T}_{jj_2\cdots j_r}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_r}. \tag{2}$$

Let $x^{[r]}=(x_1^r,\cdots,x_n^r)^T$. Then (see [2] [12]) a number $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ is called an eigenvalue of the tensor $\mathcal{T}$ of order r if there exists a nonzero vector $x\in\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying the following eigenequations

$$\mathcal{T}x=\lambda x^{[r-1]}, \tag{3}$$

and in this case, x is called an eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}$ corresponding to eigenvalue λ. The spectral radius of $\mathcal{T}$ is defined as

$$\rho(\mathcal{T})=max\{|\mu|:\mu \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \mathcal{T}\}.$$

In order to define the spectra of tensors, we first need to define the determinants of tensors. Originally the determinants of tensors were defined as the resultants of some corresponding system of homogeneous equations on n variables. Here we give the following equivalent definition of the determinants of tensors.

Definition 5 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an order m dimension n tensor with $m \geq 2$. Then its determinant $det(\mathcal{A})$ is defined to be the unique polynomial on the entries of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following three conditions:*

(1) $det(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if and only if the system of homogeneous equations $\mathcal{A}x = 0$ has a nonzero solution.

(2) $det(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, when $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{I}$ is the unit tensor.

(3) $det(\mathcal{A})$ is an irreducible polynomial on the entries of $\mathcal{A}$, when the entries of $\mathcal{A}$ are viewed as distinct independent variables.

Definition 6 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an order $m \geq 2$ dimension n tensor. Then the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined to be the determinant $det(\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})$. The (multi)-set of roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{A}$ (counting multiplicities) is called the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $Spec(\mathcal{A})$.*

If the tensor $\mathcal{T}$ and $-\mathcal{T}$ have the same spectrum (i.e., the spectrum of $\mathcal{T}$ is symmetric about the origin), then the spectrum of $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be symmetric in this paper. In [10], Nikiforov studied some symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs. He proved that for an r-graph G, $Spec(\mathcal{A}(G)) = -Spec(\mathcal{A}(G))$ if and only if r is even and G is odd-colorable. This result solves a problem in [11] about r-graphs with symmetric spectrum and disproves a conjecture in [18].

In Section 3, we will give some applications and consequences of these symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs given in [10]. In particular, we obtain (in Theorem 16) some further symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs related to the Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectrum of an r-graph G. The proof of the disconnected case of this result need to use the Perron-Frobenius Theorem on nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors, the relation between the (Laplacian and signless Laplacian) spectra of an disconnected r-graph G with that of all the connected components of G, and so on. We also use these results to study the Question 18 proposed in [14] about the relations between H-spectra of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ with the spectra of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G)$, and obtain an affirmative answer to Question 18 for the remaining unsolved case $r \equiv 2 \ (mod\ 4)$ in Theorem 19.

2 Maximum chromatic number of an odd-colorable r-graph

Let r be even, then there uniquely exist two integers q, t such that $r = 2^q(2t+1)$. In this section, we will determine that, if $n \geq 2^q(2^q-1)r$, then the maximum chromatic number in the class of the odd-colorable r-graphs on n vertices is 2^q. This result also provides an answer to Question 3 in §1.

First we prove the following upper bound on the chromatic number of the odd-colorable r-graphs.

Theorem 7 *Let $q \geq 1, t \geq 0$ be two integers and $r = 2^q(2t+1)$, and G be an odd-colorable r-graph. Then $\chi(G) \leq 2^q$.*

Proof Suppose $|V(G)| = n$ and let the function $\varphi : [n] \to [r]$ be an odd-coloring of G. For $0 \leq i \leq 2^q - 1$, set

$$V_i := \{j : j \in [n],\ \varphi(j) \equiv i (\mathrm{mod}\, 2^q)\},$$

and some V_i may be empty. Then the vertices set $V(G)$ can be partitioned as

$$V(G) = V_0 \cup \cdots \cup V_{2^q-1}.$$

We claim that each set V_i contains no edge of G. Suppose not, let $e = \{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_r\}$ be an edge in some V_i. Since j_l is in V_i, we have $\varphi(j_l) = c_l 2^q + i$, where c_l is a nonnegative integer ($l = 1, \cdots, r$). Then we

have

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi(j_1)+\cdots+\varphi(j_r)\\&=(c_1 2^q+i)+\cdots+(c_r 2^q+i)\\&=2^q(\sum_{l=1}^{r}c_l)+ir\\&=2^q(\sum_{l=1}^{r}c_l)+i2^q(2t+1).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of odd-coloring, there exists some integer c such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi(j_1)+\cdots+\varphi(j_r)\\&=cr+r/2\\&=c2^q(2t+1)+2^{q-1}(2t+1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$2^q(\sum_{l=1}^{r}c_l)+i2^q(2t+1)=c2^q(2t+1)+2^{q-1}(2t+1),$$

which implies that

$$2[\sum_{l=1}^{r}c_l+(i-c)(2t+1)]=2t+1,$$

and this is a contradiction, since it has different parity of two sides. So each set V_i does not span any edge and so $\chi(G)\le 2^q$. □

Now we construct a family of odd-colorable r-graphs on n vertices to show that the upper bound given in Theorem 7 is sharp for all $n\ge 2^q(2^q-1)r$.

Lemma 8 *Let $q\ge 1, t\ge 0$ be two integers and $r=2^q(2t+1)$. If $n\ge 2^q(2^q-1)r$, then there exists a family of 2^q-chromatic odd-colorable r-graphs on n vertices.*

Proof For any $1\le i<j\le 2^q$, we may write

$$j-i=2^{p_{i,j}}(2a_{i,j}+1),\quad \text{and}\quad b_{i,j}=2^{q-p_{i,j}-1}(2t+1),$$

where $0\le p_{i,j}<q$, $a_{i,j}\ge 0$ are integers. By definition of $b_{i,j}$, we may see that

$$b_{i,j}=\frac{2^q(2t+1)}{2^{p_{i,j}+1}}=\frac{r}{2^{p_{i,j}+1}}\le\frac{r}{2}.$$

Now we start to construct the desired r-graph G. First we take $V(G)=[n]$. In order to define the edge set $E(G)$, we first take any subsets $V_1,\cdots,V_{2^q}$ of V such that $V_i's$ are pairwisely disjoint, and $[n]=V_1\bigcup V_2\bigcup\cdots\bigcup V_{2^q}$, and $|V_i|\ge r(2^q-1)$, $1\le i\le 2^q$.

Using these subsets $V_1,\cdots,V_{2^q}$, we can define the following $2^{q-1}(2^q-1)$ families $E_{i,j}$ of r-subset of $[n]$. For any $1\le i<j\le 2^q$, set

$$E_{i,j}:=\{e: e\subset[n], |e\cap V_i|=r-b_{i,j},\ \text{and}\ |e\cap V_j|=b_{i,j}\}.$$

Finally, we define the desired r-graph G as $V(G):=[n]$ and

$$E(G):=E_{1,2}\cup E_{1,3}\cup\cdots\cup E_{(2^q-1),2^q}=\bigcup_{1\le i<j\le 2^q}E_{i,j}.$$

From the definition we can see that, if there exists a vertex subset $C \subseteq V$ with $|V_i \cap C| \geq r$ and $|V_j \cap C| \geq r$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq 2^q$, then C contains some edge from the set $E_{i,j}$.

First we will show that G is odd-colorable. Define the map $\varphi : [n] \to [r]$ by letting

$$\varphi(v) = i, \text{ if } v \in V_i.$$

We shall check that the function φ is an odd-coloring of G. Let $e \in E(G)$. If $e \in E_{i,j}$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq 2^q$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l \in e} \varphi(l) &= (r - b_{i,j})i + b_{i,j}j \\ &= ri + b_{i,j}(j-i) \\ &= ri + 2^{q-1}(2t+1)(2a_{i,j}+1) \\ &= ri + 2^q a_{i,j}(2t+1) + 2^{q-1}(2t+1) \\ &= ri + ra_{i,j} + r/2 \\ &\equiv r/2 (\mathrm{mod}\, r).\end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_r\}$ is an edge of G, then $\varphi(j_1)+\varphi(j_2)+\cdots+\varphi(j_r) \equiv r/2 (\mathrm{mod}\, r)$. So G is odd-colorable.

Now we will show $\chi(G) = 2^q$. First from Theorem 7 we know that $\chi(G) \leq 2^q$, since G is odd-colorable. Next we show that $\chi(G) \geq 2^q$. Suppose not, assume that we have a partition $V(G) = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_{2^q-1}$ such that $C_i's$ are pairwisely disjoint and there is no edge in each C_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2^q - 1$, we will use the pigeonhole principle to get a contradiction.

We first define an auxiliary matrix $T = (t_{ij})$ with 2^q rows and $2^q - 1$ columns such that

$$t_{ij} = |V_i \cap C_j| \qquad (i = 1, \cdots, 2^q;\ j = 1, \cdots, 2^q - 1)$$

Then the i-th row sum of the matrix T is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^q-1} t_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^q-1} |V_i \cap C_j| = |V_i| \geq r(2^q - 1)$$

This implies that for each $i = 1, \cdots, 2^q$, there exists some $j \in \{1, \cdots, 2^q - 1\}$ such that $t_{ij} \geq r$.

An entry t_{ij} of the matrix T is called good if $t_{ij} \geq r$. Then the above arguments shows that every row of T contains at least one good entry, so altogether T contains at least 2^q good entries since T has 2^q rows. On the other hand, T has $2^q - 1$ columns. So by the pigeonhole principle, there exists some column of T containing at least two good entries, say $t_{ik} \geq r$ and $t_{jk} \geq r$ are good entries $(i < j)$. This implies that the class C_k contains an edge from the set $E_{i,j}$, a contradiction. □

Combining Theorem 7 and Lemma 8, we can obtain the following:

Theorem 9 *If $r = 2^q(2t+1)$ is even and $n \geq 2^q(2^q-1)r$, then the maximum chromatic number in the class of the odd-colorable r-graphs on n vertices is 2^q.*

Obviously, the special case $q \geq 2$ of Theorem 9 also provides an answer to Question 3 in §1.

3 Some applications of the symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs

In [10], Nikiforov studied some symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs. He proved the following result of the odd-colorable r-graphs.

Theorem 10 *[10] Let G be an r-graph. Then $Spec(\mathcal{A}(G)) = -Spec(\mathcal{A}(G))$ if and only if r is even and G is odd-colorable.*

In this section, we will give some applications and consequences of this symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs. In particular, we obtain some further symmetric spectral property of the odd-colorable r-graphs related to the Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectrum of an r-graph G (see Theorem 16 below). We also use these results to study the Question 18 proposed in [14] about the relations between H-spectra of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ with the spectra of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G)$, and obtain an affirmative answer to Question 18 for the remaining unsolved case $r \equiv 2 \ (mod\ 4)$ in Theorem 19.

Recall that in Theorem 3.2 of [4], Fan et al. proved that in the case of the non-odd-bipartite connected r-graphs, then the following Lemma 11 holds. Combining this with the Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 in [14] for the odd-bipartite connected case, we have the following result.

Lemma 11 *Let G be a connected r-graph. Then $Spec(\mathcal{A}(G)) = -Spec(\mathcal{A}(G))$ if and only if $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$.*

Proof If G is not odd-bipartite, the result follows from Theorem 3.2 of [4]. If G is odd-bipartite, the result follows from Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 of [14]. □

Combining Theorem 10 and Lemma 11, we can obtain that, for a connected r-graph G, its Laplacian spectrum and signless Laplacian spectrum are equal if and only if r is even and G is odd-colorable. In order to extend this result to the disconnected case, we need the following result which is a consequence of Corollary 4.2 of [15].

Lemma 12 *[15] Let G be an r-graph of order n, $G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_k$ be all the connected components of G, with orders $n_1, \cdots, n_k$, respectively. Then*

$$Spec(\mathcal{A}(G)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Spec(\mathcal{A}(G_i))^{(r-1)^{n-n_i}},$$

$$Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Spec(\mathcal{L}(G_i))^{(r-1)^{n-n_i}},$$

$$Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G_i))^{(r-1)^{n-n_i}},$$

where the notation S^t means the repetition of t times of the multi-set S.

We also need some more preliminaries for the study of disconnected case of the symmetric spectral property of the Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectrum of odd-colorable r-graphs.

Lemma 13 *[14] Let G be a connected r-graph. Then $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \rho(\mathcal{Q}(G))$ if and only if $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$.*

In [5], the weak irreducibility of nonnegative tensors was defined as follows.

Definition 14 *[5] Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an order r dimension n tensor. If there exists a proper subset I of the set $[n]$ such that*

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_r} = 0 \quad (\forall\ i_1 \in I,\ \text{and at least one of the } i_2, \cdots, i_r \notin I).$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called weakly reducible (or sometimes I-weakly reducible). If $\mathcal{A}$ is not weakly reducible, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called weakly irreducible.

It was proved in [5] and [17] that an r-graph G is connected if and only if its adjacency tensor $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (and so $\mathcal{Q}(G)$) is weakly irreducible.

Lemma 15 *[17] Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be order r dimension n tensors satisfying $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly irreducible. Then*

(1). $\rho(\mathcal{B}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{A})$.

(2). If $\rho(\mathcal{A})e^{i\theta}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{B} = e^{i\theta}U^{-(r-1)}\mathcal{A}U$ for some nonsingular diagonal matrix U all of whose diagonal entries have absolute value 1.

Now we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 16 *Let G be an r-graph. Then $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$ if and only if r is even and G is odd-colorable.*

Proof First consider the case that G is connected. Then by Theorem 10 and Lemma 11 we have

$$Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G)) \Longleftrightarrow Spec(\mathcal{A}(G)) = -Spec(\mathcal{A}(G)) \Longleftrightarrow G \text{ is odd-colorable and } r \text{ is even.}$$

Now we consider the case that G is disconnected. Let $G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_t$ be all the connected components of G, and the number of vertices of G_1 be n_1. First we prove the sufficiency part. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G \text{ is odd-colorable} &\Longrightarrow \text{Every } G_i \text{ is odd-colorable } (\forall i = 1, \cdots, t) \\ &\Longrightarrow Spec(\mathcal{L}(G_i)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G_i)) \ (\forall i = 1, \cdots, t) \quad \text{(by the proof of connected case)} \\ &\Longrightarrow Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G)) \quad \text{(by Lemma 12)} \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the necessity part of the disconnected case. We will use induction on t (the number of connected components of G). Set $\rho := \rho(\mathcal{Q}(G)) = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. Then ρ is equal to some $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_j))$, say $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1))$. Since $|\mathcal{L}(G_1)| = \mathcal{Q}(G_1)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G_1)$ is nonnegative weakly irreducible, by Lemma 15 we have $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{Q}(G)) \geq \rho(\mathcal{Q}(G_1)) \geq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) = \rho$. Thus we also have $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{Q}(G_1))$. So for the connected r-graph G_1, we have $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) = \rho(\mathcal{Q}(G_1))$. Then by Lemma 13, we have $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G_1))$, so G_1 is odd-colorable by the above arguments for the connected case. Now consider the r-graph $G' = G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_t$. Since $G = G_1 \cup G'$, by Lemma 12 we have

$$Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{L}(G_1))^{(r-1)^{n-n_1}} \bigcup Spec(\mathcal{L}(G'))^{(r-1)^{n_1}},$$

$$Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G_1))^{(r-1)^{n-n_1}} \bigcup Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G'))^{(r-1)^{n_1}},$$

Thus $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$ and $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G_1))$ imply that $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G')) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G'))$. So by induction on t we obtain that G' is also odd-colorable. Therefore we conclude that G is also odd-colorable, since $G = G_1 \cup G'$ and both G_1 and G' are odd-colorable. □

As applications of Theorem 16, we can further obtain the following two results (Theorem 17 and Theorem 19).

Theorem 17 *Let G be an r-graph with r even, and $G_1, \cdots, G_k$ be all the connected components of G. Then $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$ if and only if $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G_i)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G_i))$ for every connected component G_i $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$ of G.*

Proof Sufficiency follows from Lemma 12. Now we prove the necessary part. Since $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$, we see by Theorem 16 that G is odd-colorable. Thus G_i is also odd-colorable, and so by Theorem 16 again we have $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G_i)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G_i))$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$. □

An eigenvalue of a tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is called an H-eigenvalue, if there exists a real eigenvector corresponding to it.

The H-spectrum of a real tensor $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $Hspec(\mathcal{A})$, is defined to be the set of distinct H-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$. Namely,

$$Hspec(\mathcal{A}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda \text{ is an H-eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}. \}$$

In [14](Theorem 2.2), it was proved that when r is even and the r-graph G is connected, then

$$Hspec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Hspec(\mathcal{Q}(G)) \Longrightarrow Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G)) \tag{4}$$

Also the following question was asked in [14]:

Question 18 *When r is even, whether the reverse implication of (4) is true or not?*

In [4], Fan et al. showed that the reverse implication of (4) is not true in the case $r \equiv 0$ $(mod\ 4)$ by taking the generalized power hypergraphs $G^{r,r/2}$ (G is a non-bipartite ordinary graph) as counterexamples.

Now by using Theorem 16, we can show in the following theorem that the reverse implication of (4) is true in the case $r \equiv 2$ $(mod\ 4)$, even when G is not connected, thus provide an affirmative answer to Question 18 for the remaining unsolved case.

Theorem 19 *Let G be an r-graph with $r \equiv 2$ $(mod\ 4)$, and $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$. Then we have $Hspec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Hspec(\mathcal{Q}(G)))$.*

Proof Let $G_1, \cdots, G_k$ be all the connected components of G. Since $Spec(\mathcal{L}(G)) = Spec(\mathcal{Q}(G))$, we obtain by Theorem 16 that G is odd-colorable. By Proposition 12 of [10] we deduce that G is odd-bipartite since $r \equiv 2$ $(mod\ 4)$. Thus every connected component G_i of G is also odd-bipartite. Now by Theorem 2.2 of [14], we obtain that $\mathcal{L}(G_i)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G_i)$ have the same H-spectra for all connected components G_i $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$ of G. Therefore we conclude that $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ have the same H-spectra. □

Combining Theorem 16 and Lemma 13 we have

Corollary 20 *Let G be a connected r-graph. Then $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \rho(\mathcal{Q}(G))$ if and only if r is even and G is odd-colorable.*

References

[1] C. Berge, Hypergraph: Combinatorics of Finite sets, third edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[2] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, Commun. Math. Sci. 6(2008)507-520.

[3] R. Cowen, S.H. Hechler, J.W. Kennedy, and A. Steinberg, Odd neighborhood transversals on grid graphs, Discrete Math. 307 (2007), 2200-2208.

[4] Y. Fan, M. Khan, Y. Tan, The largest H-eigenvalue and spectral radius of Laplacian tensor of non-odd-bipartite generalized power hypergraphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 504(2016):487-502.

[5] S. Friedland, A. Gaubert, L. Han, Perron-Frobenius theorems for nonnegative multilinear forms and extensions, Linear Algebra Appl., 438(2013)738-749.

[6] S. Hu, L. Qi, The eigenvectors associated with the zero eigenvalues of the Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensors of a uniform hypergraph. Discrete Appl. Math. 2014;169:140-151.

[7] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Xie, The largest Laplacian and signless Laplacian H-eigenvalues of a uniform hypergraph, Linear Algebra Appl., 469 (2015) 1-27.

[8] M. Khan, Y. Fan, On the spectral radius of a class of non-odd-bipartite even uniform hypergraphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 480(2015):93-106.

[9] V. Nikiforov, Analytic methods for uniform hypergraphs, Linear Algebra Appl.,457 (2014)455-535.

[10] V. Nikiforov, Hypergraphs and hypermatrices with symmetric spectrum. Prinprint available in arXiv:1605.00709v2, 10 May, 2016.

[11] K. Pearson and T. Zhang, On spectral hypergraph theory of the adjacency tensor, Graphs and Combinatorics 30 (2014), 1233-1248.

[12] L. Qi, H^+-eigenvalue of Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensors, Commun. Math. Sci. 12(2014)1045-1064.

[13] J. Shao, A general product of tensors with applications, Linear Algebra Appl., 439(2013)2350-2366.

[14] J. Shao, H. Shan, B. Wu, Some spectral properties and characterizations of connected odd-bipartite uniform hypergraphs, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 63(2015), 2359-2372.

[15] J.Y. Shao, H.Y. Shan, L. Zhang, On some properties of the determinants of tensors, Linear Alg. Appl. 439 (2013) 3057–3069.

[16] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonegative tensors, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 31(5) (2010): 2517-2530.

[17] Q. Yang, Y. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius Theorem for nonnegative tensors II, Siam J Matrix Anal. Appl., 32(4)(2011)1236-1250.

[18] J. Zhou, L. Sun, W. Wang, and C. Bu, Some spectral properties of uniform hypergraphs, Electron. J. Combin, 21 (2014), ♯P4.24.